UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,851	12/01/2003	Robert N.C. Broberg III	03-1458/L13.12-0245	2165
Leo J. Peters	7590 12/29/200	8	EXAM	INER
LSI Logic Corp	oration	TECKLU, ISAAC TUKU		
MS D-106 1621 Barber La	ne		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Milpitas, CA 95	5035	2192		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/724,851	BROBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192		

	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	ne same day as filing a Notice o plies: (1) an amendment, affida Il (with appeal fee) in complianc	f Appeal. To avoid abando vit, or other evidence, whic e with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Admonth of the period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	visory Action, or (2) the date set fort er than SIX MONTHS from the maili). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amour ortened statutory period for reply or	t of the fee. The appropriate ginally set in the final Office a	extension fee ction; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see No); rr form for appeal by materially r	OTE below); educing or simplifying the	
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 	. See attached Notice of Non-C		OL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 	wable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment o	anceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.10.13 and 14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an expl	anation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and approximately a good and sufficient reasons who it is necessary and approximately a good and sufficient reasons who it is necessary and approximately approx	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails to	
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the request for reconsideration has been considered by the request for reconsideration has been considered by the request for reconsideration has been considered by the reconsideration for the reconsideration has been considered by the reconsideration for the		•	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Tuan Q. Dam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192	/Isaac T Tecklu/ Examiner, Art Unit 219	2	

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants argued that prior art Pajak does not disclose "concatenating the file path of the description file that is identified in the index to the file path of the design file that is defined by the description file, as recited in claim 1". Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above assertion. Contrary to the Applicant's argument, Pajak discloses concatenating the file path

of the description file that is identified in the index to the file path of the design file that is defined by the description file (see at least paragraph [0044] "... files use a relative path to the links...") and paragraph [0061] "... concatenated netlist..." and paragraph [0122]).